

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

312

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |             | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| (130,14*)                 | ##Zingth    | S SACER.             | * f         | 0433700027          |
|                           |             | コ                    | EXAMINER    |                     |
|                           |             | MM1270125            |             |                     |
| POLLOCK VAL<br>PO 80% 196 |             | FRIEV                | ART UNIT    | PAPER NUMBER        |
| MASHINTON :               | M: 20036    |                      | 2614        |                     |
|                           |             |                      | DATE MAILED |                     |
|                           |             |                      |             | 01/25/01            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | 09/008,497  | INOUE, HIROY  | OUE, HIROYUKI                           |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |
|   | Steven H. Rao   | 2814  |   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a  |   |   | ddress                                  |  |
|   | <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>             | ·   |   |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> <li>(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does</li> </ul> | of Mailing or Transmission date<br>of month(s)) which exp | ed), which is after the<br>ired on                              |   |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CRF 1 113 to a final reject  | tion consists only of: (1) a time                         | ely filed amendment which pl                                    | laces the                               |  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fi<br>Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3   | iled Notice of Appeal (with app                           | peal fee); or (3) a timely filed                                | Request for                             |  |
| (c) 🛮 No reply has been received.   |   |   |   |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO)  | L-85).  |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular Allowance.</li> </ul>  | as received on (with a eriod for payment of the issu      | Certificate of Mailing or Tran<br>e fee (and publication fee) s | ismission dated<br>set in the Notice of |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala   |   |   |   |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | . The publication fee, if requi                           | red by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_                                   |   |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has   | s not been received.                                      |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  |   |   |   |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed new formal drawings were received on _<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   |   |   | ), which is                             |  |
| (b) The proposed new formal drawings filed on   | are not acceptable and the pe                             | eriod for reply has expired.                                    |   |  |
| (c) \( \sum \) No proposed new formal drawings have been rece   | ived.   |   |   |  |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.  | the attorney or agent of recor                            | d, the assignee of the entire                                   | interest, or all of                     |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | an attorney or agent (acting i                            | n a representative capacity ι                                   | under 37 CFR                            |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter-<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of  | rference rendered on a<br>claims.                         | nd because the period for se                                    | eking court review                      |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   |   |   |   |  |
| Attorney George Pettit in a telephone conerence this application.   | on January 16, 2001 confr                                 |   |   |  |
| Sil   |   |   | lash.                                   |  |
|   |   | OLIK CHAUDHURI  | ANAINICD                                |  |
| 1/11/2007   |   | SUPERVISORY PATENT EX. TECHNOLOGY CENTER                        | 3800<br>2800                            |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |